



On Some Weighted Inequalities on Time Scale with Nabla Calculus

Ayşe Sena ABALI*¹, Lütfi AKIN², Hilal ORHAN³

¹*Corresponding Author, Mardin Artuklu University, The Institute for Graduate Educational Studies
aysenabali45@gmail.com, 0009-0008-0219-1142

²Mardin Artuklu University, Department of Business Administration
lutfiakin@artuklu.edu.tr, 0000-0002-5653-9393

³Mardin Artuklu University, The Institute for Graduate Educational Studies
h_sndg@hotmail.com

Abstract

The theory of time scales is one of the important cornerstones of functional analysis and operator theory. Recently, it has been the subject of many studies from different disciplines. For example, it has become the field of study of many researchers working in mathematics, economics, physics, optics, engineering, and other fields. In this study, firstly the basic features of the time scale and nabla calculus are mentioned. Then a new approach to the weighted Ostrowski-type inequality is presented using nabla calculus on time scales.

Keywords: *Weighted function, Time scale, Ostrowski inequality.*

MSC: 26E70-34N05-30H10

Received: 12/09/2024

Accepted: 31/12/2024

1. INTRODUCTION

Inequality theory has an important place in almost every field of mathematics. Clear results cannot always be achieved in mathematical problems. In these cases, it is necessary to develop approach methods. The basic Ostrowski inequality was expressed by Ostrowski in 1938 [6] as follows:

Let $f: [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function on (a, b) and continuous on $[a, b]$ and

$f' : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded on (a, b) . Then for each $x \in [a, b]$

$$\left| f(x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \left[\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\left(\frac{x-a+b}{2} \right)^2}{(b-a)^2} \right] (b-a) \|f'\|_\infty \quad (1.1)$$

inequality holds.

Here $\|f'\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in (a, b)} |f'(x)|$ and $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best constant (Ostrowski, 1938).

The Ostrowski inequality sets an upper bound for the approximation of the integral mean $\int_a^b f(t) dt$ with the help of the value of $f(x)$ in the closed interval $x \in [a, b]$.

[37] Bohner and Matthews proved the Ostrowski inequality using Montgomery's inequality on the time scale. In addition, continuous case, discrete case, quantum analysis case and weighted Ostrowski versions of the results are also given.

The Ostrowski-type inequality is found to be an exalted and applicable tool in several branches of mathematics. Integral inequalities find comprehensive applications in operator theory, statistics, probability theory, numerical integration, nonlinear analysis, information theory, stochastic analysis, approximation theory, biological sciences, physics and technology. So there is a lot of research, some precedents are as follows, [38-39] Ostrowski type inequalities for functions whose first derivatives belong to the spaces $L_p[\alpha, \beta]$ and $L_1[\alpha, \beta]$ were presented by Dragomir and Wang.

[40] An Ostrowski-type inequality for functions with limited second derivatives was found by Cerone etc..

[41] Dragomir gave important Ostrowski-type inequalities for absolutely continuous functions.

[42] Sarıkaya obtained Ostrowski-type inequalities containing Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals for functions with limited second derivatives.

[43] In his study of the Ostrowski inequality, Anderson also proved versions of many fundamental inequalities such as Hermite-Hadamard, Steffensen and Chebyshev, which include compatible fractional integrals.

Our main purpose in this study is to bring a different perspective to the weighted Ostrowski inequality.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Stefan HILGER laid the foundations of the study of dynamic equations on time scales in 1988. The main purpose of studying time scale is based on the idea of combining discrete analysis and continuous analysis. For more information on time scales and inequalities, we refer the reader to monographs [1-45].

Definition 2.1 [1] Any closed subset of the set of real numbers is called a time scale and is denoted \mathbb{T} . The metric on this set will be taken as the usual metric at \mathbb{R} .

Example 2.1 Sets such as $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, [2, 4] \cup \{6\}, \{a\}$ are time scales. However $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}, (0, 2), [a, b]$ are not time scales.

Definition 2.2 [1] Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale and $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Forward jump operator $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}, & t \neq \sup\mathbb{T} \\ t, & t = \sup\mathbb{T} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Backward jump operator $\rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$

$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}, & t \neq \inf\mathbb{T} \\ t, & t = \inf\mathbb{T} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.3 [1] Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale. In this situation

$$\mu: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty), \mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t \quad (2.3)$$

$$\eta: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty), \eta(t) = t - \rho(t) \quad (2.4)$$

The functions μ and η are called graininess functions.

Definition 2.4 [1] A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$

- (i) If $t < \sup\mathbb{T}$ and $\sigma(t) > t$ then t is the right-scattered point,
- (ii) If $t > \inf\mathbb{T}$ and $\rho(t) < t$ then t is left-scattered point,
- (iii) If t is left-scattered and right-scattered point then t is isolated point,
- (iv) If $t < \sup\mathbb{T}$ and $\sigma(t) = t$ then t is right-dense point,
- (v) If $t > \inf\mathbb{T}$ and $\rho(t) = t$ then t is left-dense point,
- (vi) If t is right-dense and left-dense point then t is dense point.

t right-scattered	$t < \sigma(t)$
t right-dense	$t = \sigma(t)$
t left-scattered	$\rho(t) < t$
t left-dense	$\rho(t) = t$
t isolated	$\rho(t) < t < \sigma(t)$
t dense	$\rho(t) = t = \sigma(t)$

Definition 2.5 [4] Let \mathbb{T} be a time scale, $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function and $t \in \mathbb{T}_K$. If $L \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|f(\rho(t)) - f(s) - L[\rho(t) - s]| \leq \varepsilon|\rho(t) - s|$$

If a neighborhood $U_\delta(t) = (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{T}$ can be found to satisfy the inequality for all $s \in U_\delta(t)$ then the number $L \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the nabla derivative of the f function at the point $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$ and this situation it is donated by $L = f^\nabla(t)$

Theorem 2.1 [3] Let $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$. Thus

(i) If the function f is differentiable at point t , then the function f is continuous at point t .

(ii) If the function f is continious at the point t and left-scattered at the point t , then the function f is nabla differantiabile at the point t

(iii)
$$f^\nabla(t) = \frac{f(t)-f(\rho(t))}{\eta(t)} \tag{2.5}$$

(iv) If the point t is left dense, the function is nabla differantiabile at the point and
$$f^\nabla(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t)-f(s)}{t-s} \tag{2.6}$$

(v) If the function f is differantiabile at the point t
$$f^\rho(t) = f(t) - \eta(t) f^\nabla(t) \tag{2.7}$$

Theorem 2.2 [3] Let $f, g: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as follows for differantiabile at point $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$. In this situation

(i) The functions $f + g: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also nabla differantiabile at point t and
$$(f + g)^\nabla(t) = f^\nabla(t) + g^\nabla(t) \tag{2.8}$$

(ii) For any constant α , the function $\alpha f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also nabla differantiabile and
$$(\alpha f)^\nabla(t) = \alpha f^\nabla(t). \tag{2.9}$$

(iii) The function $f, g: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is also nabla differantiabile and
$$(fg)^\nabla(t) = f(t) g^\nabla(t) + f^\nabla(t) g(\rho(t)) = f^\nabla(t)g(t) + f(\rho(t)) g^\nabla(t). \tag{2.10}$$

(iv) If $f(t)f(\rho(t)) \neq 0$, the $\frac{1}{f}$ function is nabla differantiabile and

$$\left(\frac{1}{f}\right)^\nabla(t) = - \frac{f^\nabla(t)}{f(t)f(\rho(t))} \tag{2.11}$$

(v) If $g(t)g(\rho(t)) \neq 0$ $\frac{f}{g}$ function is nabla differantiabile and

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\nabla(t) = \frac{f^\nabla(t)g(t)-f(t) g^\nabla(t)}{g(t)g(\rho(t))} \tag{2.12}$$

Theorem 2.3 [3] If the function $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous in $[a, b]$ and differantiabile $[a, b]$

$$f^\nabla(\xi) \leq \frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a} \leq f^\nabla(\xi') \tag{2.13}$$

where $\xi, \xi' \in [a, b]$.

Definition 2.6 [3] If the function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is regular, there is a function F such that $F^\nabla(t) = f(t)$ and the function F is called the antiderivative of the function f .

Definition 2.7 [3] If the condition $F^\nabla(t) = f(t)$ is satisfied for $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$, the function $F: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called the nabla antiderivative of the function f and the nabla integral of the functions where c is an optional constant.

$$\int f(t)\nabla t = F(t) + c \tag{2.14}$$

Nabla integral of f from a to b for all $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. It is of the form,

$$\int_a^b f(t)\nabla t = F(b) - F(a) \tag{2.15}$$

Definition 2.8 [3] Let $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. If the function f has continuous limits at the dense points on \mathbb{T} and right limits at the right dense points on \mathbb{T} , the function f is called and ld-continous function.

Theorem 2.4 [3] If $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ld-continous and $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$, in this situation

$$\int_{p(t)}^t f(t)\nabla t = f(t)\eta(t) \tag{2.16}$$

Theorem 2.5 [3] If $a, b, c \in \mathbb{T}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ld-continuous in this situation, then the following statements are holds.

$$(i) \int_a^b [f(t) + g(t)]\nabla t = \int_a^b f(t)\nabla t + \int_a^b g(t)\nabla t \tag{2.17}$$

$$(ii) \int_a^b (af)(t)\nabla t = a \int_a^b f(t)\nabla t \tag{2.18}$$

$$(iii) \int_a^b f(t)\nabla t = - \int_b^a f(t)\nabla t \tag{2.19}$$

$$(iv) \int_a^b f(t)\nabla t = \int_a^c f(t)\nabla t + \int_c^b f(t)\nabla t \tag{2.20}$$

$$(v) \int_a^b f(p(t)) g^\nabla(t)\nabla t = (fg)(b) - (fg)(a) - \int_a^b f^\nabla(t) g(t)\nabla t \tag{2.21}$$

$$(vi) \int_a^b f(t) g^\nabla(t)\nabla t = (fg)(b) - (fg)(a) - \int_a^b f^\nabla(t) g(p(t))\nabla t \tag{2.22}$$

$$(vii) \int_a^a f(t)\nabla t = 0 \tag{2.23}$$

Let $t \in \mathbb{T}_K^K$ and $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In this instance the existence of the delta derivative of the function f at t does not mean that the nabla derivative also exists. The opposite is also true.

Theorem 2.6 [5] (Hölder’s inequality). Let $\kappa, \ell \in \mathbb{T}$ and $g, h: [\kappa, \ell] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be ld-continuous. Then

$$\int_{\kappa}^{\ell} |g(\theta)h(\theta)|\nabla\theta \leq \left(\int_{\kappa}^{\ell} |g(\theta)|^p\nabla\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\kappa}^{\ell} |h(\theta)|^q\nabla\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{2.24}$$

where $1 < p$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

To prove Theorem 3.1, we require the below generalized Montgomery identity.

Lemma 2.1 (the generalized Montgomery identity). Let $\kappa, \ell, \tau, \theta \in \mathbb{T}, \kappa < \ell$ and $g: [\kappa, \ell] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable and parameter $\lambda \in [0,1]$. Then

$$(1 - \lambda)g(\theta) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(g(\kappa) + g(\ell)) = \frac{1}{\ell - \kappa} \int_{\kappa}^{\ell} g^{\rho}(\tau) \nabla \tau + \frac{1}{\ell - \kappa} \int_{\kappa}^{\ell} p(\theta, \tau) g^{\nabla}(\tau) \nabla \tau, \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$p(\theta, \tau) = \begin{cases} \tau - \left(\kappa + \lambda \frac{\ell - \kappa}{2} \right), & \kappa \leq \tau < \theta, \\ \tau - \left(\ell - \lambda \frac{\ell - \kappa}{2} \right), & \theta \leq \tau \leq \ell. \end{cases}$$

Lemma (2.1) can be done by applying Theorem (2.6).

3. RESULTS

Theorem 3.1 (The generalized Ostrowski inequality). Let $\kappa, \ell, \tau, \theta \in \mathbb{T}, \kappa < \ell$ and $g: [\kappa, \ell] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be differentiable and parametre $\lambda \in [0,1]$, then we have

$$\left| (1 - \lambda)g(\theta) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(g(\kappa) + g(\ell)) - \frac{1}{\ell - \kappa} \int_{\kappa}^{\ell} g^{\rho}(\tau) \nabla \tau \right| \leq \frac{M}{\ell - \kappa} (f_2(\theta, \kappa) + f_2(\theta, \ell)), \quad (3.1)$$

where $M = \inf_{\kappa < \theta < \ell} |g^{\nabla}(\theta)|$.

This inequality is sharp in the sense that the right-hand side of (3.1) can not be replaced by a smaller one.

Using Lemma 2.1(the generalized Montgomery identity) with $p(\theta, \tau)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (1 - \lambda)g(\theta) + \frac{\lambda}{2}(g(\kappa) + g(\ell)) - \frac{1}{\ell - \kappa} \int_{\kappa}^{\ell} g^{\rho}(\tau) \nabla \tau \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\ell - \kappa} \int_{\kappa}^{\ell} p(\theta, \tau) g^{\nabla}(\tau) \nabla \tau \right| \quad (3.2) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\ell - \kappa} \left(\int_{\kappa}^{\theta} \left| \tau - \left(\kappa + \lambda \frac{\ell - \kappa}{2} \right) \right| \nabla \tau + \int_{\theta}^{\ell} \left| \tau - \left(\ell - \lambda \frac{\ell - \kappa}{2} \right) \right| \nabla \tau \right) \\ &= \frac{M}{\ell - \kappa} \left[\int_{\kappa}^{\theta} \left(\tau - \left(\kappa + \lambda \frac{\ell - \kappa}{2} \right) \right) \nabla \tau + \int_{\theta}^{\ell} \left(\left(\ell - \lambda \frac{\ell - \kappa}{2} \right) - \tau \right) \nabla \tau \right] \\ &= \frac{M}{\ell - \kappa} (f_2(\theta, \kappa) + f_2(\theta, \ell)). \end{aligned}$$

The below weighted Ostrowski inequality with parameter on time scale holds.

Theorem 3.2 Presume the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 is true and $\xi \in T$ and $q \in C_{\ell d}$.

Then

$$\left| A + (1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - \int_{\kappa}^{\ell} q^{\rho}(\tau)g^{\rho}(\tau)\nabla\tau \right|$$

$$\leq A + \int_{\kappa}^{\vartheta} q^{\rho}(\tau)(1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - g^{\rho}(\tau)\nabla\tau + \int_{\vartheta}^{\ell} q^{\rho}(\tau)(1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - g^{\rho}(\tau)\nabla\tau \quad (3.3)$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} A + (1 - \lambda) \left(\int_{\kappa}^{\ell} |g(\theta)|^p \nabla\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\kappa}^{\ell} (q^{\rho}(\tau))^q \nabla\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\int_{\kappa}^{\ell} |g^{\rho}(\tau)|^p \nabla\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\kappa}^{\ell} (q^{\rho}(\tau))^q \nabla\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \text{ where } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, p > 1, \\ A + \inf_{\kappa \leq \tau < \ell} q^{\rho}(\tau)[h_2(\kappa, \theta) + h_2(\ell, \theta)] \\ \frac{g(\rho(\ell)) - g(\rho(\kappa))}{2} + \left| (1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - \frac{g(\rho(\kappa)) + g(\rho(\ell))}{2} \right|, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

where $A = \frac{\lambda}{2}(g(\kappa) + g(\ell))$, $\int_{\kappa}^{\ell} q^{\rho}(\tau)\nabla\tau = 1$, $q(\tau) \geq 0$.

As from left side of (3.1) we have

$$\left| \frac{\lambda}{2}(g(\kappa) + g(\ell)) + (1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - \int_{\kappa}^{\ell} q^{\rho}(\tau)g^{\rho}(\tau)\nabla\tau \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{\lambda}{2}(g(\kappa) + g(\ell)) + \int_{\kappa}^{\vartheta} q^{\rho}(\tau)((1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - g^{\rho}(\tau))\nabla\tau \right|$$

$$\leq A + \int_{\kappa}^{\vartheta} q^{\rho}(\tau)|(1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - g^{\rho}(\tau)|\nabla\tau + \int_{\vartheta}^{\ell} q^{\rho}(\tau)|(1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - g^{\rho}(\tau)|\nabla\tau$$

and therefore (3.3) is shown. The first part of (3.4) can be done easily by applying Hölder's inequality. By factoring $\inf_{\kappa \leq \tau < \ell} q^{\rho}(\tau)$, we have

$$A + \int_{\kappa}^{\vartheta} q^{\rho}(\tau)|(1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - g^{\rho}(\tau)|\nabla\tau + \int_{\vartheta}^{\ell} q^{\rho}(\tau)|(1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - g^{\rho}(\tau)|\nabla\tau$$

$$\leq A + \inf_{\kappa \leq \tau < \ell} q^{\rho}(\tau) \left(\int_{\kappa}^{\vartheta} (q^{\rho}(\tau) - (1 - \lambda)g(\theta))\nabla\tau + \int_{\vartheta}^{\ell} ((1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - g^{\rho}(\tau))\nabla\tau \right)$$

$$= A + \inf_{\kappa \leq \tau < \ell} q^{\rho}(\tau) \left(\int_{\vartheta}^{\kappa} ((1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - g^{\rho}(\tau))\nabla\tau + \int_{\vartheta}^{\ell} ((1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - g^{\rho}(\tau))\nabla\tau \right)$$

$$= A + \inf_{\kappa \leq \tau < \ell} q^{\rho}(\tau)[h_2(\kappa, \theta) + h_2(\ell, \theta)]$$

and in this way the 2nd part of (3.4) holds. Eventually for deriving the 3rd inequality, we apply the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{\kappa \leq \tau < \ell} \{ |g(\rho(\tau)) - (1 - \lambda)g(\theta)| \} \\ &= \min \{ g(\rho(\ell)) - (1 - \lambda)g(\theta), (1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - g(\rho(k)) \} \\ &= \frac{g(\rho(\ell)) - g(\rho(k))}{2} + \left| (1 - \lambda)g(\theta) - \frac{g(\rho(k)) + g(\rho(\ell))}{2} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.4) is shown.

Remark 3.1. If we put $q^{\rho(\tau)} = \frac{1}{\ell - \kappa}$ in Theorem 3.2 then obtain the result without weights.

Remark 3.2. If we put $q^{\rho(\tau)} = \frac{1}{\ell - \kappa}$ and $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 3.2 then we recapture the Theorem 3.1 of [42]

4. DISCUSSION

There are some studies on the Ostrowski inequality on the time scales. In this study, the generalized weighted Ostrowski inequalities are proved on time scales with nabla calculus. As a result, germane readers will be able to discover new inequalities and application areas through the results obtained in this study. Also in further studies, these inequalities can be studied for functions of more variables or in other parts of time scales.

5. REFERENCES

1. Bohner, M., Peterson, A.,(2001). Dynamic equations on time scales: An introduction with applications, Birkhäuser, Boston.
2. Agarwal, R.P., Bohner, M.,(1999). Basic Calculus on time scales and some of its applications. Results Math,35,1-2.
3. Martins N., Torres D. F. M.,(2009). Calculus of variations on time scales with nabla derivatives. Nonlinear Analysis.71(12),1-4.
4. Rogers, J. W., Sheng, Q., (2007). Notes on the diamond- α dynamic derivative on time scales. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 326(1), 228-241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.03.004>
5. Hölder, O.,(1889) "Ueber einen Mittelwerthabsatz." *Nachrichten von der Königl. Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften und der Georg-Augusts-Universität zu Göttingen*, 1889, 38-47.
6. Ostrowski, A.(1938). Über die Absolut Abweichung einer differentienbaren Funktionen von ihren Integralmittelwert, Comment. Math. Hel, 10, 226–227.

7. Davis, J. M., Fadag M., Henderson, J. & Sheng, Q. (2006). An explanation of combined dynamic derivatives on time scales and their applications. *Nonlinear Analysis Real World Applications*, 7(3), 395-413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2005.03.008>
8. Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E. & Polya, G.(1959) "Inequalities", Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
9. Hassan, A., Khan, A. R., Mehmood, F. & Khan, M.(2021). Fuzzy-Ostrowski Type Inequalities via φ - λ -Convex Functions, *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*, 21(10), 177–183. DOI: 10.22937/IJCSNS.2021.21.10.24.
10. Hassan, A., Khan, A. R., Mehmood, F. and Khan, M.,(2022) Fuzzy Ostrowski Type Inequalities via h-Convex, *Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science*, 12(4),1–15. DOI: 10.28919/jmcs/6794.
11. Dragomir, S. S., Khan, A. R., Khan, M., Mehmood, F. & Shaikh, M. A.(2022). A New Integral Version of Generalized Ostrowski–Grüss Type Inequality with Applications, *Journal of King Saud University — Science*,34(5),1–6. DOI: 10.1016/j.jksus.2022.102057.
12. Shaikh, M. A., Khan, A. R., & Mehmood, F. (2022). Estimates for Weighted Ostrowski–Grüss Type Inequalities with Applications, *Analysis*, 42(3), 1–11. DOI: 10.1515/anly-2021-0044.
13. Mitrinovic, D. S., Pecaric, J. E. & Fink, A. M.(1991). Inequalities Involving Functions and their Integrals and Derivatives, *Mathematics, and its Applications (East European Series)*,Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publisher Group.
14. Hilger, S. Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten, Ph. D. Thesis, Universität Würzburg, 1988.
15. Bohner, M. and Georgiev, S. G.(2016) *Multivariable Dynamic Calculus on Time Scales*, Springer International Publishing,. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-47620-9.
16. Bohner, M. and Peterson, A.(2001) *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Boston, MA, Birkhäuser Boston Inc.. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0201-1.
17. Bohner, M.(2004).*Calculus of Variations on Time Scales*, *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, 13(3–4),339–349.
18. Bartosiewicz, Z., Martins, N. & Torres, D. F. M. (2011) The Second Euler-Lagrange Equation of Variational Calculus on Time Scales, *European Journal of Control*, (17), (1,9)–18. DOI: 10.3166/ejc.17.9-18. Generalization of the Ostrowski Inequalities on Time Scales 109
19. Ferreira, R. A. C., Malinowska, A. B. & Torres, D. F. M. (2011) Optimality Conditions for the Calculus of Variations with Higher-Order Delta Derivatives, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 24,(1), 87–92. DOI: 10.1016/j.aml.2010.08.023.
20. Hilscher, R. and Zeidan, V.(2004). Calculus of Variations on Time Scales: Weak Local Piecewise C^1 rd Solutions with Variable Endpoints, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 289(1), 143–166. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2003.09.031.
21. Hilscher, R., Zeidan, V. (2009). Weak Maximum Principle and Accessory Problem for Control Problems on Time Scales, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods, and Applications*,70(9), 3209–3226. DOI: 10.1016/j.na.2008.04.025.
22. Malinowska, A. B., Martins, N. & Torres, D. F. M. (2011). Transversality Conditions for Infinite Horizon Variational Problems on Time Scales, *Optimization Letters*, 5(1),41–53. DOI: 10.1007/s11590-010-0189-7.

23. Malinowska, A. B., Torres, D. F. M.(2010). Natural Boundary Conditions in the Calculus of Variations, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 33(14),1712–1722. DOI: 10.1002/mma.1289.
24. Bohner, M., Matthews, T.(2008). Ostrowski Inequalities on Time Scales, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 9(1),1–8.
25. Dragomir, S. S.(2002). The Discrete Version of Ostrowski’s Inequality in Normed Linear Spaces, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 3(1), 23-32.
26. Dragomir, S. S.(2002).Ostrowski Type Inequalities for Isotonic Linear Functionals. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*.3(5),1-8.
27. Rüzgar,H.(2012).Zaman Skalası Üzerinde Ostrowski ve Ostrowski-Grüss Tipi Eşitsizlikler[Master Thesis], Niğde University Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences.Niğde.
28. Khan, A.R.,Mehmood, F.&Shaikh, M.A.(2023). Generalization of the Ostrowski Inequalities on Time Scales, *Vladikavkaz Mathematical Journal*,25(3),98-110. DOI: 10.46698/q4172-3323-1923-j
29. Erdem,Y.(2018). Jensen, Hermite-Hadamard ve Ostrowski Eşitsizlikleri Üzerine[Master Thesis], Afyon Kocatepe University Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences.Afyonkarahisar.
30. Erden,R.(2021). Kesirli Hesaplamalar İçin Ostrowski Tipli Eşitsizlikler[Master Thesis], Bartın University Graduate School.Bartın.
31. Karakaş,Ö.(2019). Zaman Skalasında Ostrowski Tipi Eşitsizliklerin İncelenmesi[Master Thesis], Zonguldak Bülent Ecevit University Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences.Zonguldak.
32. Budak,H.(2017). Sınırlı Varyasyonlu Fonksiyonlar İçin Ostrowski Tipli İntegral Eşitsizlikleri ve Uygulamaları[PhD Thesis]. Düzce University Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences.Düzce.
33. AKIN, L.(2024). On generalized weighted dynamic inequalities for diamond - propto integral on time scales calculus. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 55(1), 363–376.
34. Akın, L.(2024). A New Approach for the Fractional Integral Operator in Time Scales with Variable Exponent Lebesgue Spaces. *Fractal and Fractional*, 5(1), 1–14.
35. Akın,L.(2021). On innovations of n-dimensional integral-type inequality on time scales. *Advances in Difference Equations*, no. 1,1–10.
36. Akın, L.(2021). New Principles of Non-Linear Integral Inequalities on Time Scales. *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences*,6(2), 387–394.
37. Matthews, T., Bohner, M.(2008). Ostrowski Inequalities on Time Scales. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 9(1),6-8.
38. Dragomir, S.S. and Wang, S.(1997) A new inequality of Ostrowski’s type in L_{p} - norm and applications to some special means and to some numerical quadrature rules. *Tamkang J. of Math.*, 28, 239-244.
39. Dragomir, S.S. and Wang, S.(1998). A new inequality of Ostrowski’s type in L_1 - norm and applications to some special means and to some numerical quadrature rules. *Indian Journal of Mathematics*, 40 (3), 299-304.
40. Cerone,P.,Dragomir,S.S.&Roumeliotis,J.(1998).An inequality of Ostrowski type for mapping whos second derivatives are bounded and applications. *RGMA Research Report Collection*,1(1)

41. Dragomir, S.S.(2015). A functional generalization of Ostrowski inequality via Montgomery identity. *Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae*, 84(1), 63-78.
42. Sarıkaya, M. Z., Dahmani, Z., Kırıs, M. E., Ahmad, F. (2016). Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and applications. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 45(1), 77-89.
43. Anderson, D.R.(2016). Taylor's formula and integral inequalities for conformable fractional derivatives. *Contributions in Mathematics and Engineering, in Honor of Constantin Caratheodory*, Springer, to appear.
44. Bohner, M. and Matthews, T.(2008). Ostrowski Inequalities on Time Scales, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 9(1), 1-8.
45. Akın, L., Abalı, A. S., & Orhan, H. (2024). On Weighted Ostrowski Inequalities on Time Scale Calculus. Presented at the 7th International HYBRID Conference on Mathematical Advances and Applications May 8-11, 2024, İstanbul / TÜRKİYE <https://2024.icomaas.com/>, İstanbul